

REMARKS

Applicant submits this response to the Office Action mailed February 3, 2011. Claims Claim(s) 1-4, 6-14, 16-24, and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 7,584,120 to Yun. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Yun Does Not Disclose All Elements of the Claimed Invention

The claimed invention is a method of registering services, while Yun discloses a method of creating descriptions of data of interest in order to extract data of interest (Yun Abstract).

More specifically, independent Claims 1, 11, and 21 recite receiving a registration request with an identified category into which the service is to be registered. In contrast, Yun discloses nothing about identifying a category in an initial request, but rather states that the “author” decides if the type of information/good/service fits into an existing category (Yun Col. 6, lines 12-20).

Further, independent Claims 1, 11, and 21 recite determining if the identified category should be used based on a canonical service description associated with the identified category. Yun does not disclose how it is determined which category to use (existing or new), other than to say the “author decides whether a particular type of information, good, or service available at the web site, fits into an existing category, or sub-category” (Yun Col. 6, lines 12-20). If a new category is to be used, Yun does not discuss at all how that new category is determined. Certainly, Yun does not disclose use of a canonical service description for such a determination, as recited in independent Claims 1, 11, and 21.

As Yun does not disclose receiving a registration request with an identified category into which the service is to be registered or disclose determining if the identified category should be used based on a canonical service description associated with the identified category, as recited in independent Claims 1, 11, and 21, independent Claims 1, 11, and 21 are patentably distinct from Yun. Since Claims 2-4 and 6-10 depend from Claim 1, Claims 12-14 and 16-20 depend from Claim 11, and Claims 22-24 and 26-30 depend from Claim 21, the dependent claims are also patentably distinct for at least the reasons described above.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that all of the claims of the present application are in condition for allowance. It is respectfully requested that a Notice of Allowance be issued in due course. The Examiner is encouraged to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney to resolve any remaining issues in order to expedite allowance of the present application.

It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required, beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 CFR § 1.136(a), and any fee required therefore (including fees for net addition of claims) is hereby authorized to be charged to Deposit Account No. 09-0461.

Respectfully submitted,

/Brian J. Teague/

Date: April 19, 2011

Brian J. Teague
Reg. No. 55,670

PATENT LAW OF VIRGINIA, PLLC
PO Box 9319
Richmond, Virginia 23227
Phone: 804.248.8539
Fax: 804.955.4180
E-Mail: brian@patentlawva.com